



PeerStory: Mend



This PeerStory is a collection of quotes from a real user sharing their experience using Mend on PeerSpot.



Sr. Manager

at a financial services firm
with 10,001+ employees



Use Case

Earlier, [Mend] was used as a tool behind the scenes for periodic vulnerability checks. It was more reactive. We only began exploring its full potential once we started integrating it with GitHub because that helped us control, and manage the process. It centrally controls all the code going into production. We have built-in rules against the license policy vulnerabilities. We don't allow code to go to production if it hasn't met those criteria.

Valuable Features

The GitHub integration is one feature we use heavily. It has helped us identify and remedy vulnerabilities. [Mend] is also easy to use. Once it's configured, it's seamless for the development community. It's clearing issues for them so that they can see the problems and how to fix them.

Results

Mend has reduced our open-source software vulnerabilities and helped us remediate issues quickly. My company's policy is to ensure that vulnerabilities are fixed before it gets to production.

“We had other solutions, like SAS scanning and Black Duck, but nothing offered this level of detail. The previous solutions were reactive and required a lot of manual work, whereas [Mend] proactively identifies vulnerabilities.”

“We evaluated several tools and picked a shortlist of candidates that met our company's needs. [Mend] had broader package manager support, minimal false positives, automated remediation, and the GitHub integration.”

[Mend] streamlined our release process and improved the quality of the code we used during the production. When we had incidents recently, Whitesource's reports helped us determine the impacted components and remedy the issue quickly.

ROI

We are seeing a return on investment because the code quality has improved, and it improved our reaction to the kinds of incidents that are happening outside the industry.